Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	[ 4	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	rv .	10/621,248		BAILEY ET AL.	
	7	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Fred Prince		1724	
All Participants:		Status of Applica	ation:	_	
(1) <u>Fred Prince</u> .		(3)			_
(2) <u>Michael Cornman</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 2 May 2005		Time: <u>10:30 am</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N  If Yes, provide a brief description:		's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103					
Claims discussed:					
1-2, 4-5					
Prior art documents discussed:  Prior art of record.	•				
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERA	L NATURE OF W	HAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	`
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirectly in the contraction of all issues.</li> </ul>	ion. The e parate rec	xaminer will provious ord of the substan	de a writte	en summary of th interview, since t	e substance
•					
•					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/A	oplicant's Represe	ntative Sig	nature – if appro	priate)
	• • • • • •			, αρριο	F=.0/

**Application No. 10/621,248** 

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed adding language to claims 1 and 5 to give patentably distinguish applicant's invention over the prior art in view of applicant's Remarks received by the Office on April 22 2005. A summary of the agreed upon changes is provided in the attached examiner's amendment.